Phylogeny and biogeography of Morinaceae (Dipsacales) based on nuclear and chloroplast DNA sequences
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Abstract

The Morinaceae (Dipsacales) contains 13 species placed in Acanthocalyx, Cryptothladia or Morina, and is distributed from the mountains of southeastern Europe through the Himalayas to the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, mainly in alpine habitats. Sequence data from two chloroplast regions (the trnK intron and the trnL-F region) and the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of nuclear ribosomal DNA were used to infer phylogenetic relationships of Morinaceae and related Dipsacales. Both the nuclear and chloroplast datasets, as well as the combined data, provide strong support for relationships within the Valerina clade, placing Morinaceae as the sister group of a clade containing Valerianaceae and Dipsacaceae plus Triplostegia. The Morinaceae, Acanthocalyx, Cryptothladia, and a clade containing Morina and Cryptothladia, are all supported as monophyletic. However, Morina was found to be paraphyletic in several of our analyses, with Morina longifolia more closely related to Cryptothladia than to other Morina species. There is some evidence that Morina longifolia produces cleistogamous flowers, as do Cryptothladia species. Dispersal-vicariance analyses support the view that Valerina radiated initially within Asia, with subsequent movement into Europe in Morinaceae, Dipsacaceae, and Valerianaceae, and into the New World in Valerianaceae. For Morinaceae, as for a number of plant groups, the Brahmaputra river drainage marks a significant biogeographic divide, although this has been spanned within Acanthocalyx and the Morina-Cryptothladia lineage.
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Introduction

An excellent taxonomic revision of Morinaceae was published by Cannon & Cannon in 1984. They recognized 13 species extending from southeastern Europe and Israel to the Himalayas of Nepal, Bhutan, and China, and further east and north in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. These plants most often grow at high elevations, sometimes in excess of 4,200 meters, but they occupy a range of habitats, including rock ledges, alpine meadows, dry slopes, the margins of pine forests, and even swamps.

Within Morinaceae, Cannon & Cannon (1984) recognized three genera: Morina, Acanthocalyx, and Cryptothladia. Acanthocalyx has basal, opposite leaves, corolla lobes that are more or less equal in size, four fertile stamens, and pollen grains that lack prominent protrusions. In contrast, Morina and Cryptothladia share whorled leaves, distinctly zygomorphic, 2-lipped corollas with unequal lobes, two fertile stamens and two reduced sterile stamens, and a unique pollen form with three prominent equatorial protrusions (Blackmore & Cannon 1983, Cannon & Cannon 1984). Cryptothladia differs from Morina in having 2–4 corolla lobes as opposed to five, and in that the corolla is equal to or, in most cases, shorter than the calyx. Cryptothladia flowers appear to be predominantly cleistogamous.

Cannon & Cannon (1984) compared Morinaceae to Valerianaceae and Dipsacaceae, but did not specifically hypothesize relationships among these groups. Morin-
naceae have sometimes been united with Dipsacaceae, and in some treatments are even considered to be a tribe within Dipsacaceae (e.g., Cronquist 1988). Such treatments highlight the presence of a distinctive epicalyx surrounding the ovary in these groups plus *Triplostegia* (which has a double epicalyx). Other authors have highlighted similarities shared by Morinaceae, Valerianaceae, and *Triplostegia*, such as the presence of three distinct carpels (two of which abort), and of clearly zygomorphic corollas. Backlund & Bremer (1998) summarized the varying taxonomic treatments of the group.

In recent years a variety of phylogenetic analyses have supported the monophyly of the Morinaceae and clarified its relationships within Dipsacales (Caputo & Cozzolino 1994, Peng et al. 1995, Backlund & Donoghue 1996, Backlund & Bremer 1997, Bell et al. 2001, Donoghue et al. 2001, Pyck 2001, Pyck et al. 2002, Zhang et al. 2003 Donoghue et al. in press). The more recent and most comprehensive of these analyses have agreed that Morinaceae, Valerianaceae, *Triplostegia*, and Dipsacaceae form a clade (the Valerina clade of Donoghue et al. 2001), and that this is in turn related to the Linnaeae of the traditional Caprifoliaceae (together forming the Linnina clade of Donoghue et al. 2001). Within Valerina there have been some differences among phylogenetic analyses, although these have been weakly supported. Several analyses combining different data sources have supported the hypothesis that Morinaceae form the sister group of a clade containing Valerianaceae plus a clade containing Dipsacaceae plus *Triplostegia*.

Representatives of the three genera of Morinaceae have been included in several previous phylogenetic studies (Caputo & Cozzolino 1994, Peng et al. 1995, Backlund & Donoghue 1996, Bell et al. 2001, Donoghue et al. 2001, Pyck 2001, Donoghue et al. in press). In all of these cases, *Acanthocalyx* has been found to be the sister group of *Morina* plus *Cryptothladia*. However, only one species has been included from each of these groups, and it has therefore not been possible to test their monophyly.

In the present paper we use DNA sequences from both chloroplast and nuclear genomes to infer the phylogeny of Morinaceae using parsimony, maximum likelihood, and Bayesian methods. The main difference between this study and those conducted previously is that we have been able to include 11 of the 13 recognized species of Morinaceae (collections of *Cryptothladia ludlowii* and *Cryptothladia parviflora* were unavailable). With this greatly improved sample we reconsider the monophyly of Morinaceae and, for the first time, test the monophyly of the three previously recognized genera. Our phylogenetic results clarify the evolution of a variety of morphological characters, as well as the historical biogeography of the group.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant material**

Sequence data from 24 taxa were collected from herbarium specimens, silica-preserved and fresh plant material (Table 1). Eleven of the thirteen species of Morinaceae were sampled, along with 10 species of Valerianaceae and Dipsacaceae. Based on previous analyses (Judd et al. 1994, Pyck et al. 1999, Pyck & Smets 2000, Bell et al. 2001, Donoghue et al. 2001, Donoghue et al. in press), three species of Linnaeae were included for rooting purposes.

**DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing**

Total DNA was extracted using the CTAB methods of Doyle & Doyle (1987) or Qiagen DNeasy plant mini extraction kits (Qiagen). Double stranded copies of all regions were amplified using standard PCR reactions. Reactions were initially denatured at 94 °C for 3 min, then 35 cycles were carried out of 94 °C for 1.5 min, 46–56 °C for 2 min, and 72 °C for 3 min. Amplification products were purified using QIAquick PCR purification kits (Qiagen).

The *trn*K intron and a portion of the 5′ end of the *mat*K gene were amplified with primers trnk-11 and matK510R (Young et al. 1999). Two newly designed internal primers – *matK*-seq1 (5′-ATTWGAATGATTCCCGATCTA-3′) and *matK*-seq2 (5′-TAGATCCCGMAATCTTTCAAT-3′) – were used along with the amplification primers for sequencing. All amplification and sequencing of the *trn*K-I GS region and *trn*L intron and of the 3′ *trn*L exon utilized the universal primers c, d, e, and f of Taberlet et al. (1991). The ITS region was amplified and sequenced using the primers ITS2, ITS3B, ITS4 (White et al. 1990), and ITS-1 (Urbatsch et al. 2000).

Sequencing was conducted using dye-terminator cycle sequencing (protocols specified by the manufacturer), and then visualized on an ABI 377 automated DNA sequencer or an MJ Research BaseStation51 DNA fragment analyzer.

**Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses**

Sequence fragments were edited using the computer package Sequencher 3.0 (Gene Codes Corp., Ann Arbor) to build contig sequences. All sequences were then aligned visually with the aid of MacClade version 4.0 (Maddison and Maddison 2000). In the primary, 'full' dataset containing all of the taxa, several regions of the ITS sequences could not be confidently aligned and were therefore omitted (see details below). However, in a 'reduced' ITS dataset containing only species of Morinaceae, regions of ambiguity in the large dataset could readily be aligned. Our alignments, along with the published tree, are available in TreeBASE (www.treebase.org/treebase) and from the first author. Although we only present one tree retrieved from the maximum-likelihood and Bayesian analyses of the combined data, trees from separate ITS and cpDNA analyses are available in TreeBASE.

All parsimony and likelihood analyses were performed using the computer software PAUP∗ vers. 4.0b10 for UNIX (Swofford 2002). For the full dataset, maximum parsimony
searches were conducted using heuristic search methods with tree-bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch swapping, zero-length branches collapsed, and all characters weighted equally. Analyses were repeated 100 times with the RANDOM ADDITION option. Equally parsimonious trees were summarized using strict consensus. Confidence in clades was assessed using the bootstrap (Felsenstein 1985); 300 replicates were performed with heuristic search settings identical to those of the original search. For the reduced datasets (11 species for ITS; 10 species for the chloroplast and combined analyses, where we were unable to amplify the chloroplast regions for *Morina kokanica*, possibly due to degraded DNA sampled from an herbarium specimen) we performed exhaustive searches; branch-and-bound searches were used in bootstrap tests.

A series of likelihood ratio tests were performed – on a variety of tree topologies, e.g. neighbor-joining (NJ) and maximum parsimony (MP) – using the computer program PORN* (Bell 2001) to determine which model of sequence evolution best fit the data. Maximum likelihood tree searches were carried out using the appropriate model, with parameters for each search simultaneously estimated via maximum likelihood. Heuristic search methods were used with TBR branch swapping and collapse of zero-length branches. Again, analyses were repeated 100 times with RANDOM ADDITION. Bootstrap tests were performed using 1,000 replicates with nearest-

### Table 1. Taxa included in phylogenetic analyses, voucher information for new sequences, and GenBank accession numbers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Voucher Information</th>
<th>ITS Accession</th>
<th>trnL-F Accession</th>
<th>trnK-intron/matK Accession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Acanthocalyx alba</em> (Hand.-Mazz.) M. Cannon</td>
<td>Boufford et al., 28401 (A)</td>
<td>AY236183</td>
<td>AF446973</td>
<td>AY290027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acanthocalyx delavayi</em> (Franchet) M. Cannon</td>
<td>Boufford et al., 28374 (A)</td>
<td>AY290014</td>
<td>AY290003</td>
<td>AY290028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acanthocalyx nepalensis</em> (D. Don) M. Cannon</td>
<td>Boufford et al., 28955 (A)</td>
<td>AY290015</td>
<td>AY290004</td>
<td>AY290029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryptothladia chinesis</em> (Pai) M. Cannon</td>
<td>Boufford et al., 27846 (A)</td>
<td>AY236184</td>
<td>AF446974</td>
<td>AY290025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryptothladia chlorantha</em> (Diels) M. Cannon</td>
<td>Ho et al., 1095 (A)</td>
<td>AY290012</td>
<td>AY290001</td>
<td>AY290024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryptothladia kokonorica</em> (Hao) M. Cannon</td>
<td>Boufford et al., 26762 (A)</td>
<td>AY290011</td>
<td>AY290000</td>
<td>AY290023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryptothladia polyphylla</em> (Wallich ex DC) M. Cannon</td>
<td>Takayama et al., 923915 (A)</td>
<td>AY290013</td>
<td>AY290002</td>
<td>AY290026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Morina coulteriana Royle</em></td>
<td>Grady et al., 6553 (A)</td>
<td>AY290009</td>
<td>AY289998</td>
<td>AY290021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Morina kokanica Regel</em></td>
<td>Bobrov, 4167 (A)</td>
<td>AY290019</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Morina longifolia</em> Wallich ex DC.*</td>
<td>Cult. Bergius Bot. Gard., Sweden; Eriksson, s.n., 2 Nov. 1999 (SBT)</td>
<td>AY236185</td>
<td>AF446975</td>
<td>AY290020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Morina persica</em> L.*</td>
<td>Coode &amp; Jones, 2323 (A)</td>
<td>AY290010</td>
<td>AY289999</td>
<td>AY290022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Dipsacales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Voucher Information</th>
<th>ITS Accession</th>
<th>trnL-F Accession</th>
<th>trnK-intron/matK Accession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Dipsacus pilosa</em> L.*</td>
<td>Cult. National Botanic Garden of Belgium, F. Billiet/H1304</td>
<td>AY290016</td>
<td>AY290005</td>
<td>AY290030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fedia cornucopiae</em> (L.) Gaertner*</td>
<td>Cult. Bergius Bot. Gard., Sweden; Eriksson, s.n., 2 Nov. 1999 (SBT)</td>
<td>AY236193</td>
<td>AF446983</td>
<td>AY290037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nardostachys jatamansi</em> (D. Don) DC.*</td>
<td>Boufford et al., 28099 (A)</td>
<td>AY236190</td>
<td>AF446980</td>
<td>AY290038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Patrinia triloba</em> Miq.*</td>
<td>Cult. Bergius Bot. Gard., Sweden; Eriksson, 807 (SBT)</td>
<td>AY236191</td>
<td>AF446981</td>
<td>AY290039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plectritis macroceras</em> Torr. &amp; Gray*</td>
<td>Patterson, 2000 (FSU)</td>
<td>AY236195</td>
<td>AF446985</td>
<td>AY290036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scabiosa atropurpurea</em> L.*</td>
<td>Cult. National Botanic Garden of Belgium, F. Billiet/H1446</td>
<td>AY290017</td>
<td>AY290006</td>
<td>AY290033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scabiosa columbaria</em> L.*</td>
<td>Cult. National Botanic Garden of Belgium, F. Billiet/H434</td>
<td>AY236188</td>
<td>AF446078</td>
<td>AY290032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Succisa pratensis</em> Moench*</td>
<td>Cult. National Botanic Garden of Belgium, F. Billiet/V263</td>
<td>AY290018</td>
<td>AY290007</td>
<td>AY290033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triplostegia glandulifera</em> Wallich ex DC.*</td>
<td>Boufford et al., 28440 (A)</td>
<td>AY236189</td>
<td>AF446979</td>
<td>AY290034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Valeriana articulata</em> HBK*</td>
<td>C. Bell ECD24 (Yale)</td>
<td>AY290019</td>
<td>AY290008</td>
<td>AY290035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Linnaeae (outgroups)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Voucher Information</th>
<th>ITS Accession</th>
<th>trnL-F Accession</th>
<th>trnK-intron/matK Accession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Abelia x grandiflora</em> Rehder*</td>
<td>Cult. Wake Forest Univ., NC., 1999; Donoghue, voucher lacking</td>
<td>AY236179</td>
<td>AF446969</td>
<td>AY290041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dipelta yunnanensis</em> Franchet*</td>
<td>Boufford et al., 29340 (A)</td>
<td>AY236180</td>
<td>AF446970</td>
<td>AY290042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Linnaea borealis</em> L.*</td>
<td>Door County, WI; Donoghue, 1990, voucher lacking</td>
<td>AY236181</td>
<td>AF446971</td>
<td>AY290040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
neighbor-interchange (NNI) branch swapping. Parameters for bootstrap tests were fixed to values estimate on the maximum likelihood tree.

Bayesian analyses were carried out using Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo (MC²) methods as implemented in the computer software MrBayes ver. 2.01 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist 2001). The chain was run for 40–50 million generations, initiated with a random starting tree. The chain was sampled every 1,000 generations, for a total of 40,000–50,000 trees sampled from the posterior distribution of trees, which were used to calculate the posterior probabilities of clades. Sample points collected prior to stationary were eliminated from the sample (i.e. ‘burn-in’). To detect the number of generations that constituted the size of the burn-in, we plotted –lnL values versus generation and checked for when the chain was stationary. The incremental heating scheme for each MC² search used the default settings in MrBayes (three ‘heated’ chains, and one ‘cold’ chain). We also used the defaults for the priors on the rate matrix (0–100), branch lengths (0–10), gamma shape parameter (0–10), and the proportion of invariable sites (0–1). A Dirichlet distribution was used for the base frequency parameters, and an uninformative prior was used for the tree topology.

A parametric bootstrap approach was used to assess potential conflict between the nuclear and chloroplast datasets (Goldman 1993, Huelsenbeck & Rannala 1997, Swoford et al. 1996). The maximum likelihood tree for the combined dataset (T_c) was used as a null hypothesis (assuming that this topology underlies both data partitions). We then simulated 100 datasets based on this null hypothesis and the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters of the best-fitting model derived for both of the original datasets (nuclear and chloroplast), using the computer software Seq-Gen ver. 1.2.5 (Rambaut & Grassly 1997). The –lnL scores were then calculated with PAUP*, using T_c and re-estimating the free parameters of the model for each of the 100 datasets. Next, tree searches were conducted, maximizing model parameters for each of the simulated datasets. We then used the difference of the –lnL scores as an estimate of the distribution under the null hypothesis and compared it to the difference in –lnL values from the original data. To further investigate potential conflict between the data partitions, we also performed the homogeneity partition test (i.e. ILD test) as implemented in PAUP*, using 1,000 replicate searches.

A parametric bootstrap approach was also used to test for the monophyly of specific clades (e.g. Morina). For each test we used MacClade to build constraint trees, enforcing the monophyly of each clade of interest. We then used maximum likelihood to search for trees under the given constraint. The maximum likelihood tree from the constraint search (T_c) was used as a null hypothesis. We then simulated 100 datasets based on this null hypothesis and the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters of the best-fitting model estimated for the original dataset using Seq-Gen. The –lnL scores were then calculated with PAUP*, using T_c and re-estimating the free parameters of the model for each of the 100 datasets. Next, tree searches were conducted, maximizing model parameters for each of the simulated datasets. We then used the difference of the –lnL scores as an estimate of the distribution under the null hypothesis and compared it to the difference in –lnL values from the original data.

### Dispersal-vicariance analysis

We used the computer software DIVA ver. 1.1 (Ronquist 1996, 1997) to estimate ancestral areas within Valeriana and Morinaceae. DIVA reconstructs ancestral areas by minimizing the number of dispersal and extinction events needed to explain a given distribution pattern. In analyses of the entire Valerina clade we coded areas as “Asia”, “Europe”, “North America” and “South America”. In analyses focused on Morinaceae, “Asia” was subdivided into “western Himalayas” and “eastern Himalayas”, marked by the Brahmaputra river drainage.

### Results

#### ITS sequences

Several ITS regions were excluded from the large dataset because they could not be confidently aligned. These corresponded to positions 199–261 and 459–485, for a total of 88 excluded characters. The full matrix therefore consisted of 628 aligned nucleotides (ranging in length from 472 to 576), of which 299 were variable and 182 parsimony informative. Parsimony analyses resulted in 6 trees of 658 steps (CI = 0.73, 0.62 excluding invariant characters; RI = 0.72). Our maximum likelihood search resulted in a single tree with a –lnL value of 3,393.64. This was identical to one of the topologies found under parsimony. Bayesian analysis recovered a tree topology with a –lnL value of 3,393.64, again identical to the ML and parsimony trees.

The reduced matrix consisted of 653 aligned nucleotides (ranging in length from 532 to 643), of which 132 were variable and 95 parsimony informative. Parsimony analyses resulted in 3 trees of 162 steps (CI = 0.90, 0.87 excluding invariant characters; RI = 0.91). Our maximum likelihood search resulted in a single tree with a –lnL value of 1,747.60. This was one step shorter than the topologies found under parsimony (163 steps). Bayesian analysis recovered a tree topology with a –lnL value of 1,747.60, again identical to the ML tree.

#### Chloroplast sequences

The large chloroplast data matrix consisted of 2,326 aligned base pairs (ranging in length from 1,887 to 2,177) from the trnK-intron, a portion of the matK coding region, the trnL intron, and the intergenic spacer (IGS) regions of trnL-F. Of these, 900 were variable and 444 parsimony informative. The trnL intron varied in length from 798 bp (in Fedia cornucopiae) to 852 bp (in Acanthocalyx nepalensis), the 5′ end of the matK coding region ranged from 878 to 964 bp, and the trnL-F region from 326 to 366 bp. A number of potentially informative gaps were present in the chloroplast regions (both coding and non-coding), but were not included in the phylo-
genetic analyses. Maximum parsimony analyses resulted in a single tree of 1,449 steps (CI = 0.75, 0.62 excluding invariant characters; RI = 0.73). The maximum likelihood and Bayesian searches both resulted in a single tree with a $-\ln L$ value of 11,285.82 (1,449 steps under parsimony).

The reduced chloroplast data matrix consisted of 2,181 aligned base pairs from the trnK-intron, a portion of the matK coding region, the trnL intron and the intergenic spacer (IGS) regions of trnL-F. Of these, 271 were variable and 100 parsimony informative. Maximum parsimony analyses resulted in 3 most parsimonious trees of 342 steps (CI = 0.85, 0.68 excluding invariant characters; RI = 0.76). The maximum likelihood and Bayesian searches both resulted in a single tree with a $-\ln L$ value of 5,027.91 (342 steps under parsimony).

**Parametric bootstrapping and ILD tests**

Parametric bootstrap analyses suggested that there was not significant incongruence (at the 5% level) between the chloroplast dataset and the result obtained from the combined analysis (i.e. the null hypothesis $T_A$), at a level greater than expected from sampling error for both the full and reduced datasets ($P = 0.39$ and $P = 0.32$, respectively). The results for the ITS dataset were also not significant ($P = 0.39, P = 0.32, P = 0.16$).

**Combined analyses**

The combined nuclear and chloroplast dataset consisted of 2,934 aligned base pairs (ranging in length from 2,463 to 2,728), of which 1,199 were variable and 626 parsimony informative. Maximum parsimony analyses resulted in 2 trees of 2,128 steps (CI = 0.72, 0.61 excluding invariant characters; RI = 0.72). Our maximum likelihood and Bayesian searches both resulted in a single tree with a $-\ln L$ value of 15,621.13 (2,128 steps under parsimony). Of the two parsimony trees, ML favored the one in which Valerianaceae is sister to Dipsacaceae plus Triplostegia over the one in which Morinaceae is sister to Dipsacaceae. This is shown in Figure 1.

The reduced nuclear and chloroplast dataset consisted of 2,835 aligned base pairs, of which 403 were variable and 195 parsimony informative. Maximum parsimony analyses resulted in 2 trees of 498 steps (CI = 0.86, 0.76 excluding invariant characters; RI = 0.82). Our maximum likelihood and Bayesian searches both resulted in a single tree with a $-\ln L$ value of 6,932.45 (500 steps under parsimony).

**Summary statistics**

Information on the full and reduced ITS, chloroplast, and combined datasets is summarized in Table 2. Support values for the major clades in the resulting trees are presented in Table 3. All analyses recovered trees in which Acanthocalyx, Cryptothladia, Morina plus Cryptothladia, Morinaceae, Dipsacaceae, and Valerianaceae were monophyletic, with moderate to very strong support (Table 3). ITS, chloroplast, and combined analyses also supported grouping Triplostegia with Dipsacaceae rather than with Valerianaceae. Likewise, all analyses (except the full ITS and combined parsimony searches) supported a sister group relationship between Valerianaceae and Dipsacaceae plus Triplostegia. These parsimony searches also find optimal trees that place Morinaceae sister to Dipsacaceae plus Triplostegia. In all cases clade support increased in the combined analyses as compared to the separate analyses. Morina was not well supported as monophyletic in any of the full analyses nor in the separate reduced analyses; it is very weakly supported only in the ML and Bayesian analyses of the reduced dataset (54% and 0.64, respectively). Parametric bootstrap tests, however, could not reject the monophyly of Morina for the nuclear, chloroplast, or combined datasets ($P = 0.39, P = 0.32, P = 0.16$).

**Dispersal-vicariance analysis**

DIVA reconstructions support an initial diversification of the Valerina clade in Asia, with subsequent movement into Europe within each of the three major clades – Morinaceae, Dipsacaceae, and Valerianaceae. Within Valerianaceae there are additional shifts into the New World on as many as four separate occasions (Bell & Donoghue, unpublished data). For Morinaceae, DIVA reconstructions inferred a widespread ancestral area at the base of the clade, followed by an initial splitting event marked by the Brahmaputra river. Within the Morina plus Cryptothladia clade there were two inferred dispersal events and two vicariance events. An additional dispersal and vicariance event was inferred for Acanthocalyx (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Results from the separate ITS and cpDNA analyses were basically the same as those found in the combined analysis. Differences seen in topologies across analyses and datasets were never supported by bootstrap values greater than 50% or posterior probabilities greater than 0.80 (see trees in TreeBASE).
Phylogeny and character evolution

Maximum likelihood analysis of the combined data provides strong support for relationships within the Valerina clade. Dipsacaceae plus *Triplostegia* form a clade that is sister to Valerianaceae, and Morinaceae is sister to this entire clade. These results are entirely consistent with the analysis of Bell et al. (2001) based on five chloroplast markers. Of special interest is the well-supported connection between *Triplostegia* and Dipsacaceae, in

---

**Fig. 1.** Maximum likelihood tree (–lnL = 15621.1364) of the Linnina clade from the full combined dataset. Numbers above branches represent nonparametric bootstrap proportions (greater than 50%) from maximum likelihood searches.
contrast to several previous analyses in which *Triplostegia* was instead united with Valerianaceae (Backlund & Donoghue 1996, Backlund & Bremer 1997, Pyck 2001). These relationships are consistent with the interpretation of the epicalyx as having been derived from the fusion of the “supernumerary” inflorescence bracts present in the related Linnaeae (Manchester & Donoghue 1995, Roels & Smets 1996, Donoghue et al. in press). Under this interpretation supernumerary bracts are a synapomorphy for the Linina clade. However, as emphasized by Donoghue et al. (in press), this pattern of relationships necessitates at least two originations of the epicalyx – in Morinaceae with more than 8 ribs (often 12 ribs), and again in Dipsacaceae plus *Triplostegia* with 8 ribs – or a single origin at the base of Valerina followed by loss in Valerianaceae. The later interpretation would be favored if the wing-like structures subtending the ovary in fruits of *Patrinia* are interpreted as evidence of an epicalyx at the base of Valerianaceae (Hofmann & Gottmann 1990).

We find strong support for the monophyly of Morinaceae in all analyses, regardless of analytical method. This is consistent with previous analyses based on morphological characters (Caputo & Cozzolino 1994, Peng et al. 1995, Backlund & Donoghue 1996) as well as a variety of molecular datasets (Backlund & Donoghue 1996, Backlund & Bremer 1997, Pyck et al. 1999, Pyck & Smets 2000, Donoghue et al. 2001, Bell et al. 2001, Donoghue et al. in press). Diagnostic morphological characters for Morinaceae include the production of verticillate inflorescences, an epicalyx with 12 nerves, and a distinctly zygomorphic calyx.

For the first time we are able to evaluate the monophyly of the
three previously recognized genera and their presumed relationships to one another. We found strong support in all analyses for a basal split separating *Acanthocalyx* from a clade containing *Morina* plus *Cryptothladia* (bootstrap value ≥ 90% and clade probability values = 1.0 for all analyses, see Table 3). *Acanthocalyx* appears to have retained ancestral characteristics, while *Morina* and *Cryptothladia* are linked by a variety of clearly derived morphological features. These include whorled leaves, spike-like inflorescences (with well-separated verticels), two fertile stamens and two staminodia, lobed or separate nectaries, and pollen grains with prominent equatorial protrusions (Cannon & Cannon 1984).

Within *Acanthocalyx* all of our datasets strongly unite *A. deleveya* and *A. nepalensis* as the sister group of *A. alba*. This is consistent with the distribution of corolla color; *A. deleveya* and *A. nepalensis* have pink corollas, whereas *A. alba* has white or cream-colored corollas and sometimes puce. *Acanthocalyx alba* also has a somewhat shorter calyx and a narrower, more curved corolla tube than the other two species (Cannon & Cannon 1984).

Within the *Morina-Cryptothladia* clade we found moderate to strong support for the monophyly of *Cryptothladia* (depending on data partition, see Table 3). This is consistent with a number of apparently derived mor-
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...the position of the sterile stamens. In length of the corolla tube correlates with a difference in five to four (or sometimes two) corolla lobes, apparently species have shortened corolla tubes (as Cryptothladia phological traits (Cannon & Cannon 1984). Specifically, C. chlorantha ships among the species of Cryptothladia by Cannon & Cannon (1984), reduction in flower size in men-corolla" portion of the tube (Leins 2000). As noted marily through retardation of growth in the abaxial "sta-

the possibility that corolla tube reduction occurred pri-

lobed nectary (Cannon & Cannon 1984). This suggests 

the two sterile stamens are located at the very base of the tube (or 1/3 of the way up the corolla tube in C. chinensis), each stamen associated with a lobed nectary (Cannon & Cannon 1984). This suggests the possibility that corolla tube reduction occurred primarily through retardation of growth in the abaxial “stam-
en-corolla” portion of the tube (Leins 2000). As noted by Cannon & Cannon (1984), reduction in flower size in Cryptothladia may be related to cleistogamy. Relationships among the species of Cryptothladia are poorly supported in our analyses. However, combined analyses (Fig. 1) found moderate support (73%) for placing C. chlorantha as sister to a clade containing C. kokonorica, C. polyphylla, and C. chinensis.

Our most surprising result concerns Morina, which in some of our analyses appears to be paraphyletic, with Cryptothladia nested within it. In particular, our data provide some evidence that Morina longifolia is more closely related to Cryptothladia than it is to the well-supported clade containing M. coulteriana and M. persica. In the ITS dataset, where we were able to include M. kokanica, this species appeared as sister to the M. coulteriana-M. persica clade. One possible apomor-

phy of this clade concerns the pollen grains: the presence of parallel bands of verrucae between the protrusions. There are no obvious characters uniting M. longifolia with Cryptothladia, but corolla size is of interest in this regard. Morina kokanica and M. persica have exceptionally long corolla tubes (35–45 mm), followed by M. coulteriana (25–30 mm). In general, it appears that the corolla tube (20–30 mm) as well as the corolla lobes are smaller in M. longifolia, and in this respect this species more closely approaches the drastically reduced corollas seen in Cryptothladia (generally included with-
in the calyx, which varies from 6 to 8 mm in length) (Cannon & Cannon 1984). Of great interest is the obser-
vation by Cannon & Cannon (1984: p. 31) that unopened flowers of M. longifolia “showed pollen already adher-
ing to the stigma.” They interpreted this as possibly indic-
ing cleistogamy in this species, “paralleling the prob-
able cleistogamy in Cryptothladia.” Our result, uniting M. longifolia with Cryptothladia, suggests the possibili-
ty that M. longifolia represents a step in the direction of the well-developed cleistogamy found in Cryptothladia. However, it should be emphasized that support for the M. longifolia-Cryptothladia connection is weak, and we cannot yet reject the monophyly of Morina with confidence.

Biogeography

DIVA analyses imply that the Valerina clade originated in Asia. All basal lineages of the three major clades – Morinaceae, Valerianaceae, Dipsacaceae – have their present distributions restricted to Asia. Valerianaceae and Dipsacaceae have since achieved a widespread distribution across temperate Asia, Europe, Mediterranean Africa, and, in the case of Valerianaceae, North and South America. Morinaceae, in contrast, has remained restricted to the higher elevations of the Himalayas and adjacent areas. The one exception is the widespread Morina persica, which apparently spread to the west, reaching Israel and the mountains of Turkey and Greece.

Within Morinaceae it is noteworthy that individual species are primarily restricted to one side or the other of the Brahmaputra river drainage (Cannon & Cannon 1984: figs 3, 5, 8) – either to the east (in eastern Tibet, Yunnan, Sichuan, and Qinghai, China) or to the west (in Bhutan, Nepal, and westward to the Middle East). Specifically, Acanthocalyx alba, A. delevayi, Cryptothladia chlorantha and C. chinensis occur primarily to the east of the Brahmaputra, while A. nepalensis, C. kokonorica, C. polyphylla and all Morina species basically occupy the western region. This particular east-west split is seen in other Himalayan taxa. In Roscoea (Zingiberaceae), for example, one clade occurs to the east and another to the west of the so-called “Brahmaputra gap” (Ngamriabsakul et al. 2000).

In DIVA analyses of the Morinaceae several results are obtained, depending, for example, on whether M. longifolia is considered to be the sister group of Cryptothladia (Fig. 2). However, in all cases at least three episodes of dispersion are inferred across the Brahmaputra gap: one within Acanthocalyx, perhaps from east to west; one within Cryptothladia, again perhaps from east to west; and one nearer the base of Mori-

naceae, perhaps from west to east. However, in the ab-
sence of information on the timing of the relevant speci-
cation events it is unclear which, if any, of them corre-
spond to the split seen in Roscoea. The distributional gap across the Brahmaputra River may be a more gener-

al pattern, warranting further attention in other groups.

Conclusions

It appears from our analyses that Morinaceae is mono-

phylectic and sister to a clade containing Valerianaceae, Dipsacaceae and Triplostegia. This result is consistent with those based on five chloroplast markers (Bell et al. 2001) and on a subset of these data analyzed by Zhang
et al. (2003). These relationships suggest that the epicalyx either evolved at the base of Valerina (via the fusion of supernumerary bract as found in the Linnaeae) and was lost in Valerianaceae (with the exception of *Patrinia*), or that it was gained twice, in Morinaceae and in Dipsacaceae plus *Triplostegia*. Additional data, especially from other nuclear markers, would be helpful in clarifying these relationships.

Within Morinaceae, *Acanthocalyx* is strongly supported as sister to a clade containing species of *Morina* and *Cryptothladia*. This supports a reduction of two of the four stamens to staminodia, and the acquisition of a unique pollen morphology. Relationships within *Acanthocalyx* are strongly supported in all analyses. However, relationships within the *Morina-Cryptothladia* clade are not yet entirely well resolved. Although we find a paraphyletic *Morina* in several of our analyses, parametric bootstrap tests could not reject its monophyly. More data are needed to resolve this issue with confidence. In addition, including the two remaining species of *Cryptothladia* (*C. ludlowii* and *C. parviflora*) in future studies would help in understanding the evolution and biogeography of Morinaceae.
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